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Analytical Reading

Students will read and analyze the following:

- **Required Reading:** Excerpts from *The Federalist No. 10*
- **Paired with Required Reading:** Excerpts from *Brutus No. 1*

In this lesson, students will study:

**Enduring Understanding CON-1:** The Constitution emerged from the debate about the weaknesses of the Articles of Confederation as a blueprint for limited government.

**To be able to:**

**Learning Objective CON-1.A:** Explain how Federalist and Anti-Federalist views on central government and democracy are reflected in U.S. foundational documents.

**Building Understanding**

When introducing Brutus I, be sure that students know that these essays (16 total) were contemporaneous to the Federalist Papers. Students will be asked to think about and compare the arguments here.

Some essential background knowledge includes a basic understanding of what a confederation is and some understanding of our first constitution, the Articles of Confederation. A textbook selection and class discussion could preface this reading.

It might be helpful to have students imagine a conversation between Madison and Brutus. Having students paired to role-play a conversation between Madison and Brutus could be a culminating activity.

**Disciplinary Practice: Source Analysis**

Describe the author’s claim(s), perspective, evidence, and reasoning.

**Reasoning Process: Comparison**

Identify similarities and/or differences.

**Developing the Disciplinary Practices**

The questions alongside the readings are designed to walk students through the process of annotating a reading to determine the author’s argument and evidence. Through highlighting, underlining, and otherwise marking or writing on the document, students learn how to perform a close reading.

When excerpts are used, be aware that the paragraphs and sections were selected because they contain an author’s claim, evidence, or otherwise advances the argument. These curated samples are similar to the shorter passages that students will encounter on the AP Exam.

The selections from Federalist 10 and Brutus I were chosen to present counterarguments that students could identify and compare in the Making Connections section.
# Topic 1.3: Government Power and Individual Rights

## Source Analysis

### Before You Read
Recall what you know about the debates between the Federalists and the Anti-Federalists. What were the fundamental differences in their beliefs on the structure and function of government? Use the table below to organize your knowledge by specific categories.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category of Comparison</th>
<th>Federalists</th>
<th>Anti-Federalists</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Proper role of government</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size and power of the central government</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Required Document:
- Excerpts from *The Federalist No. 10* by James Madison
- Paired with Required Document: Excerpts from *Brutus No. 1, October 18, 1787*

### Related Concepts:
- Direct or Pure Democracy
- Republic
- Federalism
- Pluralism
- Balance Between Order and Individual Rights

### Comparison
Identify similarities and differences.

### Source Analysis
Describe the author’s claim(s), perspective, evidence, and reasoning.
The Federalist No. 10

As you read Federalist No. 10 through a political science lens, you will focus on the argument for why a republic is a suitable government for a large country. Pay attention to how Madison supports this claim. Political scientists focus their analysis on the defense of the Constitution by those men who constructed and then advocated for it.

Consider how Madison develops an argument about how a large republic can best ensure individual rights. Consider the counter-argument he is answering or rebutting and how doing this reflects an awareness of comparison. Brutus No. 1 is paired with this text. Try and predict what the arguments are in opposition to Madison's defense of the then-new government created at the Constitutional Convention.

Federalist 10

From the New York Packet.
Friday, November 23, 1787.
Author: James Madison

To the People of the State of New York:

AMONG the numerous advantages promised by a well-constructed Union, none deserves to be more accurately developed than its tendency to break and control the violence of faction. The friend of popular governments never finds himself so much alarmed for their character and fate, as when he contemplates their propensity to this dangerous vice. …

By a faction, I understand a number of citizens, whether amounting to a majority or a minority of the whole, who are united and actuated by some common impulse of passion, or of interest, adversed to the rights of other citizens, or to the permanent and aggregate interests of the community. …

**Academic Vocabulary**

Paraphrase Madison's definition of a faction in the space below the text.

What danger does Madison say factions present to the rights of other citizens?

Students may note Madison's use of the word “violence” to describe factions. Specifically, in his definition Madison claims that factions are united by a cause (passion or interest) that is opposed (adversed to) the rights of other citizens or to the larger interests of the community. Therefore, Madison sees factions as opposed to the rights of others in the pursuit of their own interests.

**aggregate**: collected
From this view of the subject it may be concluded that a pure democracy, by which I mean a society consisting of a small number of citizens, who assemble and administer the government in person, can admit of no cure for the mischiefs of faction. A common passion or interest will, in almost every case, be felt by a majority of the whole; a communication and concert result from the form of government itself; and there is nothing to check the inducements to sacrifice the weaker party or an obnoxious individual. Hence it is that such democracies have ever been spectacles of turbulence and contention; have ever been found incompatible with personal security or the rights of property; and have in general been as short in their lives as they have been violent in their deaths. Theoretic politicians, who have patronized this species of government, have erroneously supposed that by reducing mankind to a perfect equality in their political rights, they would, at the same time, be perfectly equalized and assimilated in their possessions, their opinions, and their passions.

Check Your Understanding
What is a “pure democracy” according to Madison?
A government in which the citizens meet and carry out the duties of government in person (as opposed to elected representative democracy, or indirect democracy).

Source Analysis
Highlight or underline the claim Madison makes regarding a pure democracy’s ability to handle factions.

A republic, by which I mean a government in which the scheme of representation takes place, opens a different prospect, and promises the cure for which we are seeking. Let us examine the points in which it varies from pure democracy, and we shall comprehend both the nature of the cure and the efficacy which it must derive from the Union.

A republic is a government in which representatives are chosen.

Academic Vocabulary
Paraphrase what Madison means by a republic in the space below the text.
The two great points of difference between a democracy and a republic are:
first, the delegation of the government, in the latter, to a small number of
citizens elected by the rest; secondly, the greater number of citizens, and
greater sphere of country, over which the latter may be extended.

The effect of the first difference is, on the one hand, to refine and enlarge
the public views, by passing them through the medium of a chosen body of
citizens, whose wisdom may best discern the true interest of their country,
and whose patriotism and love of justice will be least likely to sacrifice
it to temporary or partial considerations. Under such a regulation, it may
well happen that the public voice, pronounced by the representatives of
the people, will be more consonant to the public good than if pronounced
by the people themselves, convened for the purpose. On the other hand,
the effect may be inverted. Men of factious tempers, of local prejudices,
or of sinister designs, may, by intrigue, by corruption, or by other means,
first obtain the suffrages, and then betray the interests, of the people.
The question resulting is, whether small or extensive republics are more
favorable to the election of proper guardians of the public weal; and it is
clearly decided in favor of the latter by two obvious considerations:

In the first place, it is to be remarked that, however small the republic
may be, the representatives must be raised to a certain number, in order
to guard against the cabals of a few; and that, however large it may be,
they must be limited to a certain number, in order to guard against the
confusion of a multitude. Hence, the number of representatives in the two
cases not being in proportion to that of the two constituents, and being
proportionally greater in the small republic, it follows that, if the proportion
of fit characters be not less in the large than in the small republic, the
former will present a greater option, and consequently a greater probability
of a fit choice.

Source Analysis
Highlight or underline the claim
Madison makes about why
a republic is the best form of
government.

Source Analysis
Circle the evidence on this page
that Madison uses to support
his claim about the best form of
government.

Connect to the Content
How is what Madison describes on
this page evident in the structure
of the U.S. Government?
Students should connect Madison's
thoughts here to the structure of
the House of Representatives. We
do elect our representatives for
the House based on population as
determined by the census. We are
a republic over a large country.

In regards to the right proportion
of representative to constituents,
the Constitution states (in
Article I) that. The number of
Representatives shall not exceed
one for every thirty Thousand, but
each State shall have at Least one
Representative.

Students may identify the Senate
as another place the people are
represented – note that Senators
were not directly elected until
after the passage of the 17th
Amendment.

factious: caused by a faction; inclined to form a faction
cabal: a group of people secretly united in a plot
In the next place, as each representative will be chosen by a greater number of citizens in the large than in the small republic, it will be more difficult for unworthy candidates to practice with success the vicious arts by which elections are too often carried; and the suffrages of the people being more free, will be more likely to centre in men who possess the most attractive merit and the most diffusive and established characters.

Check Your Understanding
According to Madison, how does the larger number of voters lead to a better class of representatives?

With a larger population, there is a greater likelihood of candidates who are not "unworthy" or corrupt winning elections.

Source Analysis
How does Madison continue to develop the idea he introduced earlier regarding the "just right" proportion of representatives to citizens or constituents?

He states that if there are too few representatives over a large number of voters, those representatives will not be familiar enough with the specific concerns of the communities that lie in their districts. However, if the districts are too small, then they may be too concerned with local issues and incapable of taking a wider view of issues of national concern.

Madison closes here by citing federalism as a way that national interests and state and local interests can be best addressed.
The other point of difference is, the greater number of citizens and extent of territory which may be brought within the compass of republican than of democratic government; and it is this circumstance principally which renders factious combinations less to be dreaded in the former than in the latter. The smaller the society, the fewer probably will be the distinct parties and interests composing it; the fewer the distinct parties and interests, the more frequently will a majority be found of the same party; and the smaller the number of individuals composing a majority, and the smaller the compass within which they are placed, the more easily will they concert and execute their plans of oppression. Extend the sphere, and you take in a greater variety of parties and interests; you make it less probable that a majority of the whole will have a common motive to invade the rights of other citizens; or if such a common motive exists, it will be more difficult for all who feel it to discover their own strength, and to act in unison with each other. Besides other impediments, it may be remarked that, where there is a consciousness of unjust or dishonorable purposes, communication is always checked by distrust in proportion to the number whose concurrence is necessary.

Source Analysis

Here, Madison is expanding on an earlier point about the advantages of a large republic over a smaller one.

Underline what he is saying about how factions will be better managed in a large republic than in a small one.

How is that connected to protecting the rights of the people?

A faction of the whole (a majority) with a common interest or goal that may oppress others in society is less likely over a large country.

In a larger country, there will be a greater number and variety of factions that will compete with each other. Even if there is a majority of people across a large country with same interest in oppressing others, Madison states that they will have more difficulty finding each other and collaborating to violate the rights of others.
After You Read

Thinking Like a Political Scientist

Reasoning Process: Comparison
Use the table below to outline the comparison Madison made during his argument.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Republic</th>
<th>Pure Democracy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dealing with Factions</td>
<td>a representative government will protect minority factions</td>
<td>in a pure democracy, a large faction will overwhelm a smaller one</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Structure of Government</td>
<td>a representative government will be able to grow as the country grows</td>
<td>a pure democracy will become overwhelmed and unable to operate a government properly as the country expands</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

How does Madison use comparison to bolster his argument?

Answers will vary. Look for students to recognize that Madison raised other options for government (pure democracy, small republic) to address concerns about whether or not a large republic would threaten individual liberties. In raising the weaknesses of direct democracies and small republics in controlling the ill effects of faction, Madison advances his argument in favor of a republic over a large country.

Political Science Disciplinary Practices

Source Analysis
What was Madison's purpose in defining terms such as faction, pure democracy, and republic? How does this strategy help to further his purpose?

In argument, it is often useful and effective to define key terms as part of building an argument. Madison wants the reader to know what these specific terms mean so that his reasoning can be understood.
Cite the evidence that was most useful in supporting Madison's claim about the best form of government.

Students should cite specific textual evidence to support their responses.

Answers may vary but look for students to cite text from the last three pages of excerpts. Students should also continue to use the skill of comparison when responding - direct democracy v. republic and large v. small republic.

You may consider having students mark the text that provides the most useful evidence.
Brutus No. 1

*Brutus No. 1* is another required foundational document. It represents the Anti-Federalist point of view. As you read the text, consider how the author develops an argument about his concerns about the Constitution and the threat it presented to the states and the people. Compare this argument to Madison’s argument in *Federalist No. 10*.

Source Information: *Brutus No. 1*, October 18, 1787

When the public is called to investigate and decide upon a question in which not only the present members of the community are deeply interested, but upon which the happiness and misery of generations yet unborn is in great measure suspended, the benevolent mind cannot help feeling itself peculiarly interested in the result …

... Many instances can be produced in which the people have voluntarily increased the powers of their rulers; but few, if any, in which rulers have willingly abridged their authority. This is a sufficient reason to induce you to be careful, in the first instance, how you deposit the powers of government.

**Check Your Understanding**

Why does Brutus think that people should care about the form of government?

He states that the idea that there have been many cases in which the people have allowed the government to increase its power while there are few cases in which the rulers have decreased their own power willingly should be enough for the people to care about the form of government, or how power is allocated.

_abridged:_ reduce, cut back on
... This government is to possess absolute and uncontrollable power, legislative, executive and judicial, with respect to every object to which it extends, for by the last clause of section 8th, article 1st, it is declared “that the Congress shall have power to make all laws which shall be necessary and proper for carrying into execution the foregoing powers, and all other powers vested by this constitution, in the government of the United States, or in any department or office thereof.” And by the 6th article, it is declared “that this constitution, and the laws of the United States, which shall be made in pursuance thereof, and the treaties made, or which shall be made, under the authority of the United States, shall be the supreme law of the land; and the judges in every state shall be bound thereby, any thing in the constitution, or law of any state to the contrary notwithstanding.” It appears from these articles that there is no need of any intervention of the state governments, between the Congress and the people, to execute any one power vested in the general government, and that the constitution and laws of every state are nullified and declared void, so far as they are or shall be inconsistent with this constitution, or the laws made in pursuance of it, or with treaties made under the authority of the United States. — The government then, so far as it extends, is a complete one, and not a confederation. …

Brutus uses the “necessary and proper” clause and the Supremacy clause to support the idea that the new government is really designed to concentrate power. He supports his claim by discussing how these two clauses make the states powerless to intervene on behalf of their citizens in an area of authority granted to the national government.

Source Analysis
Highlight or underline the claim Brutus makes about the government established by the Constitution.

Check Your Understanding
Circle where Brutus references the “Necessary and Proper” clause and the Supremacy clause.

Source Analysis
Use the space below the paragraph to explain how the author uses these clauses to support his sub-claim here.
Let us now proceed to enquire, as I at first proposed, whether it be best the thirteen United States should be reduced to one great republic, or not? It is here taken for granted, that all agree in this, that whatever government we adopt, it ought to be a free one; that it should be so framed as to secure the liberty of the citizens of America, and such an one as to admit of a full, fair, and equal representation of the people. The question then will be, whether a government thus constituted, and founded on such principles, is practicable, and can be exercised over the whole United States, reduced into one state?

If respect is to be paid to the opinion of the greatest and wisest men who have ever thought or wrote on the science of government, we shall be constrained to conclude, that a free republic cannot succeed over a country of such immense extent, containing such a number of inhabitants, and these encreasing in such rapid progression as that of the whole United States. Among the many illustrious authorities which might be produced to this point, I shall-content myself with quoting only two. The one is the baron de Montesquieu, spirit of laws, chap. xvi. vol. I [book VIII]. “It is natural to a republic to have only a small territory, otherwise it cannot long subsist. In a large republic there are men of large fortunes, and consequently of less moderation; there are trusts too great to be placed in any single subject; he has interest of his own; he soon begins to think that he may be happy, great and glorious, by oppressing his fellow citizens; and that he may raise himself to grandeur on the ruins of his country. In a large republic, the public good is sacrificed to a thousand views; it is subordinate to exceptions, and depends on accidents. In a small one, the interest of the public is easier perceived, better understood, and more within the reach of every citizen; abuses are of less extent, and of course are less protected.” Of the same opinion is the marquis Beccarari. …

The territory of the United States is of vast extent; it now contains near three millions of souls, and is capable of containing much more than ten times that number. Is it practicable for a country, so large and so numerous as they will soon become, to elect a representation, that will speak their sentiments, without their becoming so numerous as to be incapable of transacting public business? It certainly is not.

Source Analysis
Circle what the “great thinkers” the author quotes have to say about a republic governing a small area and a large area.

Source Analysis
Explain how the Brutus uses the “great thinkers” to support his argument?

Brutus uses quotes from the “great thinkers” to support the idea that a republic over a large territory cannot last and would end up with men ruling who are corrupt and willing to sacrifice the public good for their own interests. Further, Montesquieu notes that in a smaller republic, it is easier to know and for everyone to understand what the public interest is. This makes abuses of power less prevalent.

Why is it significant that he describes them as “great thinkers”? Answers may vary but students might indicate that the label “great thinkers” lends credibility and weight to their words.

Source Analysis
Circle the places in this paragraph where Brutus uses the size of the United States to support his argument.
In a republic, the manners, sentiments, and interests of the people should be similar. If this be not the case, there will be a constant clashing of opinions; and the representatives of one part will be continually striving against those of the other. This will retard the operations of government, and prevent such conclusions as will promote the public good. If we apply this remark to the condition of the United States, we shall be convinced that it forbids that we should be one government. …

… The laws and customs of the several states are, in many respects, very diverse, and in some opposite; each would be in favor of its own interests and customs, and, of consequence, a legislature, formed of representatives from the respective parts, would not only be too numerous to act with any care or decision, but would be composed of such heterogeneous and discordant principles, as would constantly be contending with each other. …

In a republic of such vast extent as the United-States, the legislature cannot attend to the various concerns and wants of its different parts. It cannot be sufficiently numerous to be acquainted with the local condition and wants of the different districts, and if it could, it is impossible it should have sufficient time to attend to and provide for all the variety of cases of this nature, that would be continually arising. …

In so extensive a republic, the great officers of government would soon become above the control of the people, and abuse their power to the purpose of aggrandizing themselves, and oppressing them. … the collecting of all the public revenues, and the power of expending them, with a number of other powers, must be lodged and exercised in every state, in the hands of a few. When these are attended with great honor and emolument, as they always will be in large states, so as greatly to interest men to pursue them, and to be proper objects for ambitious and designing men, such men will be ever restless in their pursuit after them. They will use the power, when they have acquired it, to the purposes of gratifying their own interest and ambition, and it is scarcely possible, in a very large republic, to call them to account for their misconduct, or to prevent their abuse of power.

These are some of the reasons by which it appears, that a free republic cannot long subsist over a country of the great extent of these states. If then this new constitution is calculated to consolidate the thirteen states into one, as it evidently is, it ought not to be adopted.

Source Analysis
Highlight or underline the claim Brutus is making here.

Source Analysis
For each of the remaining paragraphs, circle the evidence that the author uses to support the claim you identified above.

Source Analysis
Restate the conclusion of the argument.

Brutus concludes that because of all the reasons he has discussed in this essay, a free republic cannot last very long in a country as large as the United States. If the intent of the Constitution is to make the thirteen states live under one national government, then it should not be ratified.
After You Read

Thinking Like a Political Scientist

Reasoning Process: Comparison
List at least two categories of comparison that could be used to compare the arguments in Federalist No. 10 and Brutus No. 1.

In Federalist 10, Madison claims that a republic over a large territory is best suited to protect the rights of the people while Brutus claims it is cannot last as the government of a free people.

In Federalist 10, Madison states that a large republic with legislative districts large enough to attract men of high character to run (and small enough to be sure they are familiar/interested in the concerns of constituents) is an advantage. Brutus believes under such a republic exceedingly wealthy men will seek office who are likely to be corrupt and will seek personal gain at the expense of the people.

Madison claims that local interests will be best met in the federal system by local and state government while Brutus claims that the real intent of the Constitution is to consolidate power in the national government, greatly weakening the role of the states in addressing the needs of their citizens.

Describe Brutus’ claim that states are better at protecting individual rights.
Brutus asserts that the officials in the state government are closer to the people and are more likely to protect their rights. It is more difficult, according to Brutus, for corrupt men to take power and unlikely that they could stay in power. Brutus also discusses the idea that creating a large republic with taxes collected at the state level for the federal treasury would attract the kind of men who might seek to enrich themselves (especially in the larger states) at the expense of the people.
Political Science Disciplinary Practices

Source Analysis
Brutus raised concerns about the elements of the Constitution that proposed moving from a confederation to a federal system. Evaluate the evidence the author used to support these concerns. How well does it support his claims?

Students should cite Brutus’s concern over the “necessary and proper” and Supremacy clauses as revealing the real intent to reduce the states’ power or to consolidate the states under one government. Student responses may vary as to the evaluation of Brutus’s use of evidence from the Constitution.
## Making Connections

Let's now analyze the two readings in this lesson.

Complete the table using specific claims and evidence from the arguments by Madison and Brutus.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category of Comparison</th>
<th>Madison</th>
<th>Brutus</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Proper role of government</td>
<td>Possible Response: Students should use evidence supporting Madison's view that controlling the effect of factions through a properly structured government was the best way to secure the people's rights.</td>
<td>Possible Response: Students should use evidence that the proper role of the government is to protect the people's rights.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size and power of the central government</td>
<td>Possible Response: Madison believed that the central government's power needed to be increased in some respects. Under a central government over a large territory like the United States, factions would compete with each other. This would make it more difficult for a faction to control the government and oppress other citizens.</td>
<td>Possible Response: Brutus believed that the bulk of power should be in the states, those units of government closest to the people. At the state level, the interests of the citizens are well known and homogenous. Shared interests will make the government function better and a government closest to the people is less likely to attract corrupt men who would oppress the people in order to enrich themselves.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
What connections can you make to government and politics today? How is power balanced in our republic?

Answers will vary. Look for students to discuss current issues around federalism, specifically how they see power balanced between the national government and the states. Look for them to support their claims about the balance of power with evidence.